

[... Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_67678
Title of the Manuscript:	A Bioeconomic Analysis of a Renewable Resource in the Presence of Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	No Comment, Sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	No Comment, Sufficient	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	(0001) please consider providing additional info on the boundaries of theta. (0002) please consider providing additional info on the boundaries of quadratic costs.	

**PART 2:**

[... Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>		

**PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:**

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

*I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer*

**PART 4: Objective Evaluation:**

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript ( Highest: 10 Lowest: 0 )  <b>Guideline:</b> Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	9.7

**PART 5: Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Department, University & Country	Position: (Professor/researcher/lecturer, etc.)	Email:	5-10 Keywords to describe specialization/expertise
Dr. James Edward Curtis Jr HPhD PhD Post-Doctorate PRA	<a href="http://igri.academia.edu">Http://igri.academia.edu</a> , Internet Graduate Research Institute, The Office of the President	Director (2017-), PRA (2018-2019) Professorship (2018-, 2021-)	jamesjr@jecjef.net	Economic History, Inequality
<b>Please provide the proper information (Name, University and Country). The 'Certificate' for reviewing this paper will be issued using this information.</b>		Dr. James Edward Curtis Jr, HPhD PhD Post-Doctorate PRA, Internet Graduate Research Institute, <a href="http://igri.academia.edu">Http://igri.academia.edu</a> , <a href="http://jecjef.net/CORPORATE.html">Http://jecjef.net/CORPORATE.html</a> , JECJEF University, USA		